VIA EMAIL

May 21, 2020

RE: Letter of Response for Grievan2e1#3filed on January4, 2019

Dear

In accordance with Chapter 24 Subtitle-B, Section 2405 of the District Oblumbia Municipal Regulations, DC Public Schools (DCPS) Comprehensive Alternative Resolution and Equity (CARE) team has completed its investigation of the above referenced grievance.


Grievance Issues

We acknowledge that there has been a lapsemetisince the filing of this grievance. Based on a review of the information provided, the written grievance raised the following issues under the jurisdiction of this office:

- 1. 5-B DCMR 2405.2: Where it is alleged that any student or group of students is being subjected to an arbitrary or unreasonable regulation, procedure, or standard of conduct
 - a. Youreported that you were informed that Student was picked in the community by an officer and escorted to school (; however, you believe the officer identified the wrong student.
 - b. Additionally, you wanted **&** identified attendance records amended after she was incorrectly marked absentrom class on the morning due to being misidentified by the officer.

ReviewProcedure

Thereview included interviews with the following individuals:



Thereview included the following which were either submitted by the complainant, submitted by the school, or accessible via DCPS data systems:

- 1. Aspen-DCPS data maintenance system for attendance
- 2. Voicemail provided by you from the School Resource Officer . from
- 3. camera footage from 9:30m-10:30am on
- 4. Email from Assistant Principal, to yofcom
- 5. Metropolitan Police Department report dated

General Findings of Fact

The following findings of fact resulted from the review

- 1. According to Aspen, Student was absent from her first period class d tardy to her second period class on
- 2. On the morning of you received a voicemail from the School Resource Officer stating that a Metropolitan Police Department officer picked Student up in the community at 9:39 m and escorted Student to school.
- 3. On youstated that it was physically impossible for Student to have been picked up by the officer because you sent Student to school in an Uber
- 4. On you stated that you had GPS tracking **Stu** dent whereabouts that placed her at school and not in the community during the morning of
- 5. On you stated that you wanted proof of wheth Student was escorted to school and requested video footage to be viewed to determine if Student . walked into school herself or if she was escorted.
- 6. DCPS CARE obtained the camera footagerom 9:30am10:30amthat showed three different female students escorted byofficers entering at 9:36am and 9:37amon .
- 7. On Pathways Coordinator reported to DCPS CARE t**Sat** dent is shown in the camera footage entering the building, escorted by an officer, at 9:37am.
- 8. DCPS CARE obtained a copy of the Metropolitan Police Department report dated which confirms that Student was escorted to schoryban officer.

Discussion Conclusion

As a result of this review, we have determined the following:

- a. As it relates to your concerns that Student was misidentified PSCARE finds that B DCMR 2405.2(c) not substantiated DCPS CARE pulled camera footage between the hours of 9:30am10:30am on the morning of and was able to erify that Student was in this footage being escorted into the school by an officer at arcorAdditionally, DCPS CARE obtained a copy of Student Metropolitan Police Department report for the morning of
- b. As it relates to your concerns that Student attendance record for the morning of is inaccurate, DCPS CARE finds the DGMR 2405.2(c) is not substantiated. The

implemented a daily progress report tracker fo	
Student	to help improve her attendance.
CLATHORN MELICILARY STOCINE	
also contact the U.S. Department of	

Sincerely 4 1 ...

Cc: Principal